

Alaska Inpatient Hospital Diagnosis Related Groups (DRG) Reimbursement Methodology

July 23, 2020



Meeting Logistics

- This meeting is being recorded
- Please type the following information into the "Chat" so we have a record of participants
 - First Name
 - Last Name
 - Your Organization
- All callers will be muted
- To ask a question, please type into the "Chat" box
- feature



Click on the icon to access the "Chat"



Agenda

- Introductions
 - Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS)
 - Myers and Stauffer
- Project Background
- Overview of Project
- Overview of DRG Payment Methodology
- Q&A/Discussion



MEET THE PRESENTERS

DHSS

Marcey Bish, Executive Director

Myers and Stauffer

Tim Guerrant, Member
Joe Gamis, Senior Manager
Margaret King, Health Care Senior Consultant

Project Background

- Move from a cost-based per diem payment system to an equitable and sustainable payment methodology that:
 - Promotes Quality
 - Is Patient Centered
 - Is Fair to Providers
 - Is Fiscally Responsible
 - Ensure access to care for Alaskans who may require additional resources



Project Plan and Timeline

- Project Start: January 2020
- Modeling impact of DRG methodology: Summer/Fall 2020
- Rule and SPA changes: Late 2020
- Provider Training: Early 2021
- Claims Processing System Testing: Spring 2021
- Estimated Project End: Late Spring 2021
- Estimated Implementation Date: July 1, 2021
- Stakeholders will be asked to participate throughout the project

Progress to Date

- Evaluated Existing Inpatient Hospital Reimbursement System and Related Regulatory Support.
- 2. Conducted Research of Other State DRG Reimbursement Methodologies.
- 3. Prepared DRG Payment Methodology Research and Analysis.
- 4. Obtained Cost Reports from CMS Database and Medicaid Claims Data from DHSS for Rate Modeling Process.
- Conducted Claims Data Analysis and Calculated Estimated Claim Cost. Performed Preliminary Claim Grouping in DRG Groupers. Modeled baseline DRG parameters.
- Initiated Stakeholder Communications.





What are DRGs? (Diagnosis Related Groups)

- System of inpatient classification based on the characteristics of an inpatient stay.
- Developed in 1970s as epidemiological/analytical tool.
- Adapted to a payment system by New Jersey in late 1970s.
- Adopted for payment by Medicare Part A in 1983.

DRG Classification Systems

- Inpatient stays are assigned to a DRG based on information such as diagnosis codes, patient age and gender, surgical procedures, and birthweight (neonates).
 - Cases grouping to the same DRG have similar clinical characteristics and consume similar resources.
- Inpatient stays are assigned to a DRG through a DRG grouper classification system. DRG groupers in the U.S.:
 - All Patient Refined DRG (APR-DRG) grouper
 - Medicare Severity DRG (MS-DRG) grouper
 - Tricare grouper (U.S. Department of Defense Military Health System)

DRG Classification Systems

- APR-DRG: Specifically designed for all patient populations.
 - 1,322 DRGs (330 base DRGs with 4 subclasses for severity of illness)
- MS-DRG: Developed for CMS. Designed for and based on data from the Medicare population.
 - 761 DRGs
- Tricare DRG: Based on MS-DRG grouping logic and functionality, with added neonate, pediatric, and substance abuse DRGs.
 - 829 DRGs

DRG Classification Systems

	MS-DRGs v37	Tricare DRGs v37	APR-DRGs v37		
Developer	3M for CMS	3M for DoD	3M		
Patient	Medicare fee-for-service	Adapted from MS-DRGs	All patient population		
Population					
Clinical Focus for	Medicare population. Little	Clinical updates follow	All patient population,		
Annual Updates to no focus on OB,		Medicare	including obstetrics,		
	newborns, pediatrics (0.4% of Medicare stays)		newborns, and pediatrics		
Number of DRGs	761	829	1,322 (330 base DRGs & 4		
			subclasses)		
Newborn DRGs	7	31	112		
Obstetric DRGs	23	23	44		
Mental Health	9 (75% in one DRG)	Same as Medicare	48		
Severity of	Base DRGs separated into	Same as Medicare	Each base DRG has 4 severities		
Illness Structure	pairs or triplets based on		of illness (SOI): minor,		
	complications or		moderate, major, extreme.		
	comorbidities (CCs), cases		SOI varies depending on base		
	with CCs, or cases with		DRG, interaction of		
	major complications or		comorbidities, and patient		
	comorbidities (MCCs)		age.		

Source: 3M Health Information Systems (2020). Used with permission.



DRG Groupers in Medicaid

APR-DRG (28 States)

AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, FL, IL, IN, LA*, MD, MA, MI, MN, MS, MT, NE, NJ, NY, ND, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX, VA, WA, WI, WY

* Announced

MS-DRG (13 States)

IA, KS, KY, ME, NH, NM, NC, OK, OR, SD, UT, VT, WV

Tricare (1 State)

GA

Non DRG* (9 States)

AL, AK, AR, DE, HI, ID, MO, NV, TN

* Cost, per diem, or other

Source: Medicaid and CHIP Payment and Access Commission (2018) with updates by 3M Health Information Systems (2019). Used with permission.

DRG Payment System

- In a DRG payment system, payment is based on patient acuity rather than the length of stay.
- Each DRG receives a relative weight value based on resource utilization relative to other DRGs.
 - An inpatient stay with a relative weight of 1.0 represents average resource consumption.
- Example low, average, and high weight DRGs:

DRG	Description	Relative Weight
310-2	INTERVERTEBRAL DISC EXCISION & DECOMPRESSION	1.0823
621-4	NEONATE BWT 2000-2499G W MAJOR ANOMALY	6.9958
002-3	HEART &/OR LUNG TRANSPLANT	11.4800

DRG Payment System

- Typical components of a DRG payment system
 - DRG base rate
 - DRG relative weights
 - Outlier payments
 - Add-on payments (i.e., graduate medical education)
 - Transfer payment adjustment
- Other DRG payment policies
 - Policy adjustors adjust payment for specific types of care or patients, e.g., neonates, behavioral health, pediatric,
 - Partial stay eligibility
 - Interim claim policy
 - Outpatient bundling
 - Performance payments for quality

DRG PAYMENT EXAMPLES

DRG Payment Examples

Example DRG payment formula*

STEP	(C)		(A)	(B)		
	DRG Base Payment	=	DRG Base Rate	X	DRG Relative Weight	
1	\$12,562.50	=	\$5,000.00	Х	2.5125	

STEP					(D)		(E)
SILF	(F)		(C)		Outlier Payment (if		Add-on Payments (e.g.,
2	Total DRG Payment	=	DRG Base Payment	+	applicable)	+	GME, capital)
	\$15,687.50	=	\$12,562.50	+	\$2,675.00	+	\$450.00

^{*}Non-transfer inpatient stay

DRG Payment Examples

Outlier Payments

- DRG payment systems typically include outlier payments for extremely complex and costly cases.
- Payments are made when the length of the stay ("day outlier") or cost of the stay ("cost outlier") exceeds a predetermined threshold.
- Example cost outlier payment formula

```
$300,000 Total charges

X .4500 Cost-to-charge ratio

135,000 Estimated costs incurred by provider

- 37,000 Outlier threshold determined by the state*

$ 98,000 Costs above threshold

X 80% Outlier marginal cost factor – determined by state

$ 78,400 Outlier reimbursement

+ 12,000 DRG base payment

$ 90,400 Total reimbursement of case

*(DRG base payment [12,000] + Fixed-loss [25,000])
```

DRG Payment Examples

Transfer Payments

- DRG payment systems typically reduce payments for inpatient claims involving patient transfers between facilities because a transfer stay does not represent a full episode of care.
- Generally, DRG payments to the transferring hospital are prorated by dividing the actual length of stay for the case by the average length of stay (ALOS) for the assigned DRG. For example:

ASSUMPTIONS \$12,000.00 DRG base payment (base rate x relative weight) 001-2 APR-DRG number 8.20 DRG average length of stay (ALOS) Actual length of stay CALCULATION \$12,000.00 DRG base payment **DRG ALOS** 8.20 \$ 1,463.41 Prorated daily payment Actual length of stay + 1 DRG transfer payment \$ 8,780.49

DRG CONSIDERATIONS FOR ALASKA

DRG Considerations for Alaska

#	Considerations		Considerations
1	DRG Grouper		Interim Claims
2	DRG Grouper Version		Outpatient Bundling
3	DRG Base Rates	11	Charge Limit
4	DRG Relative Weights	12	Documentation and Coding Adjustment
5	Policy Adjustors	13	Quality Components
6	Outlier Payment Methodology	14	Excluded Hospitals
7	Transfer Payment Policy	15	Excluded Services
8	Partial Stay Eligibility Policy	16	Transition Strategy

QUESTIONS/DISCUSSION & CONTACT INFORMATION



MARCEY BISH, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

marcey.bish@alaska.gov



TIM GUERRANT, MEMBER

tguerrant@mslc.com